## Nevada State Performance Plan Annual Performance Report

## **Eureka County School District Performance Indicator Data – 2008-2009 (May 2010)**

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) requires states to develop and submit a six-year State Performance Plan (SPP) to the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) at the U.S. Department of Education. The SPP is designed to evaluate the state's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA and describe how the state will improve its implementation. The plan consists of several priority areas with specific indicators defined for each area. Measurable and rigorous targets are defined for each indicator to show progress throughout the six-year period. For school year 2008-2009, states are required to report publicly on the performance of school districts for SPP indicators 1, 2, 3, 4a, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12. The table below shows how this school district performed on specific indicators and whether or not the district met the state's annual targets for those indicators as defined in the Nevada State Performance Plan.

A link to the Nevada State Performance Plan, Part B for 2005-2010 can be found at on the Department of Education website at <a href="http://www.doe.nv.gov/edteam/ndeoffices/sped-diversity-improve/resources.html">http://www.doe.nv.gov/edteam/ndeoffices/sped-diversity-improve/resources.html</a>.

State Performance Indicator		2008-2009 State Target	2008-2009 District Data	Did District Meet State Target?
1.	Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma—district percentage at or <u>above</u> state target meets state target (this indicator is required to be reported for 2007-2008 data against the 2007-2008 target).	50%	1	Yes
2.	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school—district percentage at or <u>below</u> state target meets state target (this indicator is required to be reported for 2007-2008 data against the 2007-2008 target).	7.1%		Yes
3.	A. Adequate yearly progress (AYP) for students with IEPs. If district has minimum "n" size and makes AYP, district meets state target.  (NA=district did not meet minimum "n" size)	Yes	NA	NA
	B. Statewide assessment participation rate for students with IEPs.	95%	100%	Yes
	C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate achievement standards.			
	Mathematics – 3rd	38%	NA	NA
	Mathematics – 4th	34%	NA	NA
	Mathematics – 5th	30%	NA	NA
	Mathematics – 6th	27%	NA	NA
	Mathematics – 7th	20%		No
	Mathematics – 8th	21.5%	NA	NA
	Mathematics – 11th	18.5%		Yes
	English Language Arts – 3rd	29.5%	NA	NA
	English Language Arts – 4th	29%	NA	NA
	English Language Arts – 5th	24.5%	NA	NA
	English Language Arts – 6th	23%	NA	NA
	English Language Arts – 7th	20%		No
	English Language Arts – 8th	21.5%	NA	NA
	English Language Arts – 11th	29%		Yes

Data not presented for groups fewer than 10.

-

Stat	e Performance Indicator	2008-2009 State Target	2008-2009 District Data	Did District Meet State Target?		
4.	A. Significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year, when compared to statewide average (3.25%). District percentage at or below state target meets state target (this indicator is required to be reported for 2007-2008 data against the 2007-2008 target).		NA	NA		
5.	A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served inside the regula class 80% or more of the day. District percentage at or <u>above</u> state targe meets state target.		90%	Yes		
	B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served inside the regula class less than 40% of the day. District percentage at or <u>below</u> state target meets state target.	15.4%	5%	Yes		
	C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements.  District percentage at or <u>below</u> state target meets state target.	1.7%	0%	Yes		
6.	Percent of children with IEPs aged 3 through 5 who received special education and related services and settings with typically developing peers.	Baseline data an Indicator 6 data	Baseline data are currently being collected. Indicator 6 data will be reported in future years.			
7.	Percent of children with IEPs aged 3 through 5 who demonstrate improved social-emotional skills, acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.		Baseline data are currently being collected. Indicator 7 data will be reported in future years.			
8.	Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities. (NA=district was not surveyed because district was not selected for monitoring during 2008-2009)	74%	NA	NA		
9.	Disproportionate representation (DR) of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.	No DR	No DR	Yes		
10.	Disproportionate representation (DR) of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.	No DR	No DR	Yes		
11.	Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility determined within state-established timeline of 45 school days. (NA=district was not selected for monitoring during 2008-2009)	100%	NA	NA		
12.	Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. (NA= district was not selected for monitoring during 2008-2009)	100%	NA	NA		
13.	Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes various required components for transition from secondary school to reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.		Baseline data are currently being collected. Indicator 13 data will be reported in future years.			
14.	Percent of youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and who have been enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school; enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school; or enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program, or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.		Baseline data are currently being collected. Indicator 14 data will be reported in future years.			

## **Determination Under IDEA for 2008-2009**

In accordance with federal requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), the Nevada Department of Education (NDE) is required to make an annual determination of each school district's status in implementing the purposes and requirements of Part B of the IDEA. This annual determination is based upon a review of each district's data against the state targets established for performance and compliance indicators under the Nevada State Performance Plan. "Performance" indicators for school year 2008-2009 include Indicators 1, 2, 3, 4a, 5, and 8. "Compliance" indicators for school year 2008-2009 include Indicators 9, 10, 11, and 12, as well as correction of noncompliance identified during the previous year reported as state-level data under Indicator 15.

School districts that were determined to "meet requirements" (a) reported accurate and timely data, (b) demonstrated substantial compliance for Indicators 9, 10, 11, and 12 (as applicable) at a 95-100% rate, and (c) demonstrated correction of noncompliance identified during the previous year at a 95-100% rate.

School districts that were determined to "need assistance" (a) did not report accurate and/or timely data but took action to correct data systems; (b) demonstrated substantial compliance for Indicators 9, 10, 11, and 12 (as applicable) at a 75-94% rate; (c) demonstrated correction of noncompliance identified during the previous year at a 95-100% rate; and (d) met a target for at least one performance indicator.

Based on these criteria, the Eureka County School District determination for 2008-2009 is: Meets Requirements.